1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAYER BROWN LLP DONALD M. FALK (SBN 150256) dfalk@mayerbrown.com EDWARD D. JOHNSON (SBN 189475) wjohnson@mayerbrown.com LEE H. RUBIN (SBN 141331) lrubin@mayerbrown.com Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 Telephone: (650) 331-2000 Facsimile (650) 331-2060 MAYER BROWN LLP KRISTEN A. ROWSE (SBN 235294) krowse@mayerbrown.com 350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor	
10 11	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503 Telephone: (213) 229-9500 Facsimile: (213) 625-0248	
12 13	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.	
14	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	LIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
16		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS	Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK DECLARATION OF LEE H. RUBIN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION FOR TEMPORARY STAY OF DISCOVERY Date: December 8, 2011 Time: 1:30 p.m. Courtroom: 8 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh Complaint Filed: May 4, 2011
28		

1	I, Lee H. Rubin, hereby declare as follows:	
2	1. I am a partner with the law firm of Mayer Brown LLP, counsel for defendant	
3	Google Inc. in the above-captioned matter. I submit this Declaration in support of the	
4	Defendants' Joint Motion for Temporary Stay of Discovery. I have personal knowledge of the	
5	matters stated herein, and can and will testify thereto if called upon to do so.	
6	2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' First Set of	
7	Requests for Production, served October 3, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and	
8	correct copy of Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories, also served October 3, 2011.	
9	3. On October 3, 2011, the parties met and conferred on case management topics,	
10	including how discovery should proceed in this case. In those October 3 discussions and	
11	thereafter, Defendants indicated their intention to file a motion to dismiss by October 13, and	
12	indicated that it was Defendants' position that discovery should not proceed at all unless/until the	
13	pleadings are resolved and a determination is made that Plaintiffs have stated at least one valid	
14	claim. Defendants also offered to discuss an accelerated schedule for briefing the motion to stay	
15	discovery.	
16	4. Defendants have agreed to exchange initial disclosures on October 17 pursuant to	
17	Rule 26. Defendants have also agreed to continue to meet and confer with Plaintiffs regarding	
18	(i) a format for the production of electronic documents and (ii) a protective order and a	
19	stipulation regarding the handling of expert discovery.	
20	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 13, 2011.	
21		
22		
23	/s/ Lee H. Rubin	
24	Lee H. Rubin	
25	·	
26		
27		
28		